EXHIBIT 1

Revised 5/11 935am

Designation List Report

<u></u>	Kowalski, Tim	2023-05-08		
	Sonos Affirmatives	00:10:58		
	TOTAL RUN TIME	00:10:58		
	Documents linked to video: T8240			



Case 3:20-cv-06754-WHA Document 717-1 Filed 05/11/23 Page 3 of 9

Kowalski_T - Revised 5/11 935am

DESIGNATION	SOURCE	DURATION	I D
10:05 - 10:07	Kowalski, Tim 2023-05-08	00:00:05	Kowalski_T.1
	10:05 Can you please state your full name for		
	10:06 the record?		
	10:07 A. Timothy Michael Kowalski.		
10:11 - 10:12	Kowalski, Tim 2023-05-08	00:00:03	Kowalski_T.2
	10:11 Q. And who are you employed by?		
	10:12 A. Google.		
15:07 - 15:11	Kowalski, Tim 2023-05-08	00:00:15	Kowalski_T.3
	15:07 Q. How long have you worked at Google LLC?		
	15:08 A. A little over ten years. I think I started		
	in September of 2012.		
	15:10 Q. And what is your current title?		
	15:11 A. Senior counsel.		
15:15 - 15:21	Kowalski, Tim 2023-05-08	00:00:29	Kowalski_T.4
	15:15 Q. What are your job responsibilities in your		
	15:16 current position?		
	15:17 A. I manage the patent and transactions team.		
	15:18 Q. What does that entail?		
	15:19 A. Managing a group of attorneys, the overall		
	15:20 work stream or focus of the group is to negotiate	and	
	15:21 execute patent licenses on behalf of Google.		
16:17 - 16:22	Kowalski, Tim 2023-05-08	00:00:20	Kowalski_T.5
	16:17 Q. Just briefly, what formal education do you		
	16:18 have?		
	16:19 A. I received a B.S. in mechanical engineering		
	16:20 from Purdue University and then my law degree f	rom	
	16:21 what used to be the John Marshall Law School, n	ow is	
	the University of Illinois Chicago law school.		
18:14 - 18:15	Kowalski, Tim 2023-05-08	00:00:06	Kowalski_T.6
	18:14 Q. Do you use any Sonos products?		
	18:15 A. Yes.		
18:20 - 18:23	Kowalski, Tim 2023-05-08	00:00:14	Kowalski_T.7
	18:20 Q. In what time frame did you acquire these		
	18:21 Sonos products for personal use?		
	18:22 A. Sometime in 2015, 2016 time frame when we		
	18:23 were building our house.		
19:04 - 19:06	Kowalski, Tim 2023-05-08	00:00:12	Kowalski_T.8
	19:04 Q. Approximately how many Sonos products do		

Sonos Affirmatives 2 / 8

Case 3:20-cv-06754-WHA Document 717-1 Filed 05/11/23 Page 4 of 9

Kowalski_T - Revised 5/11 935am

DESIGNATION	SOURCE		DURATION	I D	
	19:05		you own?		
	19:06	A.	Six or eight maybe, probably eight.		
22:09 - 22:10	Kowalski, Tim 2023-05-08			00:00:00	Kowalski_T.9
	22:09		(Whereupon, Exhibit 1 was marked for		
	22:10		identification.)		
58:19 - 59:22	Kowal	ski,	Tim 2023-05-08	00:01:36	Kowalski_T.10
	58:19	Q.	Do you have an understanding of the		
	58:20		distinction between an operating company versus	a	
	58:21		nonpracticing entity?		
	58:22	A.	Yes, as a general matter I do.		
	58:23	Q.	What is that understanding?		
	58:24	A.	Well, I understand an operating company is		
	58:25		in the business of selling products and a I'm		
	59:01		sorry, what was the other term you used?		
	59:02	Q.	My question was what your understanding is		
	59:03		of the distinction between an operating company		
	59:04		versus a nonpracticing entity?		
	59:05	A.	Okay. So, yes. A general high-level		
	59:06		understanding of a nonpracticing entity is a compa	ny	
	59:07		that is not involved in selling products and therefor	e	
	59:08		isn't using its patents.		
	59:09	Q.	So is it your understanding that an		
	59:10		operating company is in the business of selling		
	59:11		products while a nonpracticing entity is not in the		
	59:12		business of selling products?		
	59:13		Generally, yes.		
	59:14	Q.	Do you understand Sonos, Inc. to be a		
	59:15		nonpracticing entity?		
	59:16	A.	No. My understanding is Sonos sells		
	59:17		products. In fact, we talked about the ones that I		
	59:18		purchased earlier.		
	59:19	Q.	Do you understand Sonos to be a competitor		
	59:20		to Google?		
	59:21		In what sense?		
	59:22		In any sense.		
59:24 - 60:02		ski,	Tim 2023-05-08	00:00:12	Kowalski_T.11
	59:24		THE WITNESS: At one point in time we were		
	59:25		both selling speakers. So we may have been		
	60:01		competitive with respect to speakers at one point in	1	
	60:02		time.		

Sonos Affirmatives 3 / 8

Case 3:20-cv-06754-WHA Document 717-1 Filed 05/11/23 Page 5 of 9

Kowalski_T - Revised 5/11 935am

DESIGNATION	SOURCE	DURATION	I D
60:04 - 60:11	Kowalski, Tim 2023-05-08	00:00:43	Kowalski_T.12
	60:04 Q. And at what point in time was that?		
	60:05 A. I think around we're not really selling		
	60:06 speakers anymore to my knowledge. I know in 201	7	
	60:07 roughly, I may be off by a year or two, we sold what	:1	
	60:08 refer to as a premium speaker.		
	60:09 Q. Is it your understanding that Sonos and		
	60:10 Google were competitors in the speaker market at	one	
	60:11 point in time?		
60:13 - 60:16	Kowalski, Tim 2023-05-08	80:00:00	Kowalski_T.13
	60:13 THE WITNESS: Yes, at least one point I		
	60:14 would say competitors in the high-end speaker ma	rket	
	60:15 at one point in time, premium speaker market at or	ne	
	60:16 point in time.		
65:09 - 65:09	Kowalski, Tim 2023-05-08	00:00:04	Kowalski_T.14
	65:09 Q. Has Google ever tracked Sonos's patents?		
65:16 - 65:17	Kowalski, Tim 2023-05-08	00:00:05	Kowalski_T.15
	65:16 THE WITNESS: I don't think I have any		
	65:17 non-privileged information that's responsive.		
66:05 - 66:06	Kowalski, Tim 2023-05-08	00:00:04	Kowalski_T.16
	66:05 Q. Has Google ever done any searches for		
	66:06 Sonos patents?		
66:09 - 66:11	Kowalski, Tim 2023-05-08	00:00:05	Kowalski_T.17
	66:09 THE WITNESS: I don't think I have any		
	66:10 non-privileged information that's responsive to that	it	
	66:11 question.		
66:13 - 66:14	Kowalski, Tim 2023-05-08	00:00:04	Kowalski_T.18
	66:13 Q. Has Google ever attempted to locate family		
	66:14 members of Sonos patents?		
66:17 - 66:22	Kowalski, Tim 2023-05-08	00:00:13	Kowalski_T.19
	66:17 THE WITNESS: Again, I don't have any		
	66:18 non-privileged information to answer in response t	0	
	66:19 that question.		
	66:20 BY MR. KOLKER:		
	66:21 Q. Did Google make an effort to learn when		
	66:22 Sonos filed new patents?		
66:25 - 67:01	Kowalski, Tim 2023-05-08	00:00:05	Kowalski_T.20

Sonos Affirmatives 4 / 8

Case 3:20-cv-06754-WHA Document 717-1 Filed 05/11/23 Page 6 of 9

Kowalski_T - Revised 5/11 935am

DESIGNATION	SOURCE	DURATION	I D
	66:25 THE WITNESS: I don't have non-privileged		Kowalski_T.20
	67:01 information responsive to that question.		
86:23 - 86:24	Kowalski, Tim 2023-05-08	80:00:00	Kowalski_T.24
© T8240.1	86:23 Q. Mr. Kowalski, can you pull up what we		
	86:24 marked as Deposition Exhibit Number 1?		
87:04 - 87:05	Kowalski, Tim 2023-05-08	00:00:04	Kowalski_T.25
𝚱 T8240.1.1	87:04 Q. Would you take a minute to review the		
	87:05 first page of this document.		
87:07 - 87:10	Kowalski, Tim 2023-05-08	00:00:12	Kowalski_T.26
	87:07 Q. Did reviewing that first page refresh your		
	87:08 recollection as to whether Google filed an action		
	87:09 for declaratory judgment of noninfringement of th	e	
	87:10 '966 patent?		
87:13 - 87:15	Kowalski, Tim 2023-05-08	00:00:10	Kowalski_T.27
	87:13 THE WITNESS: I see that this document		
	87:14 shows that Google filed a declaratory judgment		
	87:15 action of noninfringement of the '966 patent.		
87:17 - 88:03	Kowalski, Tim 2023-05-08	00:00:41	Kowalski_T.28
Ø T8240.12	87:17 Q. If you can go ahead and go down to		
	87:18 Page 12, which is the second-to-last page of the		
	87:19 document.		
	87:20 A. Yes, I think I'm there.		
𝚱 ⊤8240.12.1	87:21 Q. For the record, I'm looking at the		
	87:22 signature page which has a date and a signature by	/	
	87:23 Google attorneys.		
	87:24 Is that what you're looking at?		
	87:25 A. Yes.		
	88:01 Q. Do you see that says that this document is		
	88:02 dated September 28th, 2020?		
	88:03 A. I see that.		
88:12 - 88:14	Kowalski, Tim 2023-05-08	00:00:12	Kowalski_T.29
0	88:12 Q. And just to make sure we're looking at the		
6 T8240.12.2	88:13 same document, does the case number read		
	88:14 3:20-cv-06754, dash, followed by two letters?		
88:15 - 88:19	Kowalski, Tim 2023-05-08	00:00:15	Kowalski_T.30
	88:15 A. Yes.		
	88:16 Q. Okay. Any reason to doubt that this		
	88:17 document was filed by Google on September 28th,		

Sonos Affirmatives 5 / 8

Case 3:20-cv-06754-WHA Document 717-1 Filed 05/11/23 Page 7 of 9

Kowalski_T - Revised 5/11 935am

DESIGNATION	SOUR	CE		DURATION	I D
	88:18		2020?		
	88:19	Α.	I don't have any reason to doubt that.		
89:08 - 89:10	Kowal	ski,	Tim 2023-05-08	00:00:08	Kowalski_T.31
	89:08	Q.	Do you have any understanding as to		
	89:09		whether this declaratory judgment action alleges		
	89:10		that Google did not infringe the '966 patent?		
89:12 - 89:14	Kowal	ski,	Tim 2023-05-08	00:00:09	Kowalski_T.32
	89:12	•	THE WITNESS: I believe this document is		
	89:13		Google is seeking a declaratory judgment of		
	89:14		noninfringement of the '966 patent.		
92:02 - 92:09	Kowal	ski.	Tim 2023-05-08	00:00:18	Kowalski_T.33
Clear	92:02		Do you know when Google formed a basis as	00.00.10	Nowalski_1.55
Clear	92:03	Q.	to its belief that it did not infringe the '966		
	92:04		patent?		
	92:05		MR. NARDINELLI: Object to form. And		
	92:06		also, Tim, I will instruct you not to answer that		
	92:07		question on grounds of privilege.		
	92:08		THE WITNESS: Confirming I will follow		
	92:09		counsel's advice.		
92:11 - 94:02	Kowal	ski,	Tim 2023-05-08	00:01:55	Kowalski_T.34
	92:11		Did Google form a basis as to its belief		_
		-	that it did not infringe the '966 patent prior to		
	92:12				
	92:12		receiving Sonos's draft complaint or after receiving Sonos's draft complaint?		
	92:12 92:13		receiving Sonos's draft complaint or after receiving		
	92:12 92:13 92:14		receiving Sonos's draft complaint or after receiving Sonos's draft complaint?		
	92:12 92:13 92:14 92:15		receiving Sonos's draft complaint or after receiving Sonos's draft complaint? MR. NARDINELLI: Tim, instructing you not		
	92:12 92:13 92:14 92:15 92:16		receiving Sonos's draft complaint or after receiving Sonos's draft complaint? MR. NARDINELLI: Tim, instructing you not to answer that question on grounds of privilege.		
	92:12 92:13 92:14 92:15 92:16 92:17		receiving Sonos's draft complaint or after receiving Sonos's draft complaint? MR. NARDINELLI: Tim, instructing you not to answer that question on grounds of privilege. THE WITNESS: Confirming I'm going to		
	92:12 92:13 92:14 92:15 92:16 92:17 92:18	Q.	receiving Sonos's draft complaint or after receiving Sonos's draft complaint? MR. NARDINELLI: Tim, instructing you not to answer that question on grounds of privilege. THE WITNESS: Confirming I'm going to follow counsel's advice.		
	92:12 92:13 92:14 92:15 92:16 92:17 92:18 92:19	Q.	receiving Sonos's draft complaint or after receiving Sonos's draft complaint? MR. NARDINELLI: Tim, instructing you not to answer that question on grounds of privilege. THE WITNESS: Confirming I'm going to follow counsel's advice. BY MR. KOLKER:		
	92:12 92:13 92:14 92:15 92:16 92:17 92:18 92:19 92:20	Q.	receiving Sonos's draft complaint or after receiving Sonos's draft complaint? MR. NARDINELLI: Tim, instructing you not to answer that question on grounds of privilege. THE WITNESS: Confirming I'm going to follow counsel's advice. BY MR. KOLKER: As a general matter, what is Google's		
	92:12 92:13 92:14 92:15 92:16 92:17 92:18 92:19 92:20 92:21	Q.	receiving Sonos's draft complaint or after receiving Sonos's draft complaint? MR. NARDINELLI: Tim, instructing you not to answer that question on grounds of privilege. THE WITNESS: Confirming I'm going to follow counsel's advice. BY MR. KOLKER: As a general matter, what is Google's policy for filing a legal pleading?		
	92:12 92:13 92:14 92:15 92:16 92:17 92:18 92:19 92:20 92:21	Q.	receiving Sonos's draft complaint or after receiving Sonos's draft complaint? MR. NARDINELLI: Tim, instructing you not to answer that question on grounds of privilege. THE WITNESS: Confirming I'm going to follow counsel's advice. BY MR. KOLKER: As a general matter, what is Google's policy for filing a legal pleading? MR. NARDINELLI: Instruct you not to		
	92:12 92:13 92:14 92:15 92:16 92:17 92:18 92:19 92:20 92:21 92:22 92:23	Q.	receiving Sonos's draft complaint or after receiving Sonos's draft complaint? MR. NARDINELLI: Tim, instructing you not to answer that question on grounds of privilege. THE WITNESS: Confirming I'm going to follow counsel's advice. BY MR. KOLKER: As a general matter, what is Google's policy for filing a legal pleading? MR. NARDINELLI: Instruct you not to answer on grounds of privilege.		
	92:12 92:13 92:14 92:15 92:16 92:17 92:18 92:19 92:20 92:21 92:22 92:23 92:24	Q.	receiving Sonos's draft complaint or after receiving Sonos's draft complaint? MR. NARDINELLI: Tim, instructing you not to answer that question on grounds of privilege. THE WITNESS: Confirming I'm going to follow counsel's advice. BY MR. KOLKER: As a general matter, what is Google's policy for filing a legal pleading? MR. NARDINELLI: Instruct you not to answer on grounds of privilege. THE WITNESS: Confirming I'm going to		
	92:12 92:13 92:14 92:15 92:16 92:17 92:18 92:20 92:21 92:22 92:23 92:24 92:25		receiving Sonos's draft complaint or after receiving Sonos's draft complaint? MR. NARDINELLI: Tim, instructing you not to answer that question on grounds of privilege. THE WITNESS: Confirming I'm going to follow counsel's advice. BY MR. KOLKER: As a general matter, what is Google's policy for filing a legal pleading? MR. NARDINELLI: Instruct you not to answer on grounds of privilege. THE WITNESS: Confirming I'm going to follow counsel's advice.		
	92:12 92:13 92:14 92:15 92:16 92:17 92:18 92:20 92:21 92:22 92:23 92:23 92:24 92:25 93:01		receiving Sonos's draft complaint or after receiving Sonos's draft complaint? MR. NARDINELLI: Tim, instructing you not to answer that question on grounds of privilege. THE WITNESS: Confirming I'm going to follow counsel's advice. BY MR. KOLKER: As a general matter, what is Google's policy for filing a legal pleading? MR. NARDINELLI: Instruct you not to answer on grounds of privilege. THE WITNESS: Confirming I'm going to follow counsel's advice. BY MR. KOLKER:		

Sonos Affirmatives 6 / 8

Kowalski_T - Revised 5/11 935am

DESIGNATION	SOURCE		DURATION	I D
	93:05	MR. NARDINELLI: Instruct you not to		
	93:06	answer on grounds of privilege.		
	93:07	THE WITNESS: Confirming I'm going to		
	93:08	follow counsel's advice.		
	93:09	BY MR. KOLKER:		
	93:10). When Google reviews legal pleadings prior		
	93:11	to filing, what is the scope of Google's review?		
	93:12	MR. NARDINELLI: Instruct you not to		
	93:13	answer on basis of privilege.		
	93:14	THE WITNESS: Confirming I'm going to		
	93:15	follow counsel's advice.		
	93:16	BY MR. KOLKER:		
	93:17	2. Do you understand that there is a Rule 11		
	93:18	obligation to certify that factual contentions have		
	93:19	evidentiary support or, if specifically so		
	93:20	identified, will likely have evidentiary support		
	93:21	after a reasonable opportunity for further		
	93:22	investigation or discovery?		
	93:23	MR. NARDINELLI: If you know the answer to		
	93:24	that, Tim, you can answer yes or no.		
	93:25	THE WITNESS: I'm generally aware of		
	94:01	Rule 11. I am not a litigator, so it doesn't come		
	94:02	up in my daily practice.		
94:04 - 95:03	Kowalsk	i, Tim 2023-05-08	00:00:54	Kowalski_T.35
	94:04	2. Google states in this pleading that it		
	94:05	does not infringe the '966 patent.		
	94:06	Do you know if that contention had		
	94:07	evidentiary support at the time that this was filed	?	
	94:08	MR. NARDINELLI: Instruct you not to		
	94:09	answer on grounds of privilege.		
	94:10	THE WITNESS: Confirming I'm going to		
	94:11	follow counsel's advice.		
	94:12	BY MR. KOLKER:		
	94:13	Prior to filing this declaratory judgment		
	94:14	complaint, did Google have an opportunity to con	firm	
	94:15	its contention that it did not have the '966		
	94:16	patent had evidentiary support?		
	94:17	MR. NARDINELLI: Instruct you not to		
	94:18	answer on grounds of privilege.		
	94:19	THE WITNESS: Confirming I'm going to		

Sonos Affirmatives 7 / 8

Case 3:20-cv-06754-WHA Document 717-1 Filed 05/11/23 Page 9 of 9

Kowalski_T - Revised 5/11 935am

DESIGNATION	SOUR	CE		DURATION	I D
	94:20		follow counsel's advice.		
	94:21		BY MR. KOLKER:		
	94:22	Q.	As a general matter, would Google file a		
	94:23		pleading with the court if it did not believe the		
	94:24		statements were true?		
	94:25		MR. NARDINELLI: Instruction not to answer		
	95:01		on grounds of privilege. Also object to form.		
	95:02		THE WITNESS: Confirming I'm going to		
	95:03		follow counsel's advice.		
95:05 - 95:21	Kowal	ski,	Tim 2023-05-08	00:00:51	Kowalski_T.36
	95:05	Q.	Would Google have filed this declaratory		
	95:06		judgment action if it did not believe the statements		
	95:07		that it did not infringe the '966 patent were true?		
	95:08		MR. NARDINELLI: Objection, calls for		
	95:09		speculation. I'll also instruct you, Tim, not to		
	95:10		answer on grounds of privilege.		
	95:11		THE WITNESS: Confirming I'm going to		
	95:12		follow counsel's advice.		
	95:13		BY MR. KOLKER:		
	95:14	Q.	Would Google have filed this declaratory		
	95:15		judgment action if it did not have a sufficient		
	95:16		basis to believe the statements that it did not		
	95:17		infringe the '966 patent were true?		
	95:18		MR. NARDINELLI: Object to form. Instruct		
	95:19		you not to answer on grounds of privilege.		
	95:20		THE WITNESS: Confirming I'm going to		
	95:21		follow counsel's advice.		

Sonos Affirmatives	00:10:58			
TOTAL RUN TIME	00:10:58			
Documents linked to video:				
T8240				

Sonos Affirmatives 8 / 8